## Effective Computation of Hodge Cycles

Edgar Costa (MIT)

April 23, 2025, Leiden University

Slides available at edgarcosta.org.

Joint work with Nicholas Mascot, Jeroen Sijsling, John Voight, and Emre Can Sertöz

Let A be an abelian variety defined over k.

### Goal

Given A compute the endomorphism ring End A.

Let A be an abelian variety defined over k.

#### Goal

Given A compute the endomorphism ring End A.

· Over a finite field k, Honda–Tate theory tells us

$$\det(1-t\operatorname{Frob}|H^1(A,\mathbb{Q}_\ell))\in 1+t\mathbb{Z}[t]$$

determines the k-isogeny class and the isomorphism class of  $End(A) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ .

Let A be an abelian variety defined over k.

#### Goal

From the equations of A determine a basis for  $\operatorname{End} A$  and their equations in  $A \times A$ .

· Over a finite field k, Honda–Tate theory tells us

$$\det(1-t\operatorname{Frob}|H^1(A,\mathbb{Q}_\ell))\in 1+t\mathbb{Z}[t]$$

determines the k-isogeny class and the isomorphism class of  $\operatorname{End}(A) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ . If  $A = \operatorname{Jac}(C)$ , then we can compute this by counting points on C.

Let A be an abelian variety defined over k.

#### Goal

From the equations of A determine a basis for End A and their equations in  $A \times A$ .

· Over a finite field k, Honda–Tate theory tells us

$$\det(1-t\operatorname{Frob}|H^1(A,\mathbb{Q}_\ell))\in 1+t\mathbb{Z}[t]$$

determines the k-isogeny class and the isomorphism class of  $\operatorname{End}(A) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ . If  $A = \operatorname{Jac}(C)$ , then we can compute this by counting points on C.

- There are several in principle algorithms to do this over a number field. These involve, a day/night algorithm:
  - · by day: search for reasonable morphisms;
  - by night: restrict your search space.

## Our setup

Let *C* be a nice (smooth, projective, geometrically integral) curve over *k* of genus *g* given by equations. Let *J* be the Jacobian of *C*.

#### Goal

Given the equations of C, compute the endomorphism ring  $\operatorname{End} J^{\operatorname{al}}$ .

## Our setup

Let C be a nice (smooth, projective, geometrically integral) curve over k of genus g given by equations. Let J be the Jacobian of C.

#### Goal

Given the equations of C, compute the endomorphism ring End Jal.

- It is an interesting challenge.
- If End / contains non-trivial idempotents, we can hope to decompose / into abelian varieties of smaller dimension.
- If End J is non-trivial, then this allows us to find a modular form that describes the arithmetic properties of J and C.
- · Can be used to show transcendence of 1-periods (Ouaknine–Worrell–Sertöz)

## An analytic description of the Jacobian

Via a chosen embedding of k into  $\mathbb{C}$  and a projection into  $\mathbb{P}^2$ , we can consider C as a Riemann surface, and

$$J_{\mathbb{C}} = H^{0}(C, \Omega_{C})^{\vee}/H_{1}(C, \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{C}^{g}/\Lambda,$$

where we pick an k basis for  $H^0(C,\Omega_C)=k\omega_1\oplus\ldots\oplus k\omega_g$ , hence,

$$\Lambda = \left\{ \left( \int_{\gamma} \omega_1, \dots, \int_{\gamma} \omega_g \right) \in \mathbb{C}^g : \gamma \in H_1(C, \mathbb{Z}) \right\} \cong \mathbb{Z}^{2g}.$$

In other words, *J* is a complex torus (plus a polarization).

## An analytic description of the Jacobian

Via a chosen embedding of k into  $\mathbb{C}$  and a projection into  $\mathbb{P}^2$ , we can consider C as a Riemann surface, and

$$J_{\mathbb{C}} = H^{0}(C, \Omega_{C})^{\vee}/H_{1}(C, \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{C}^{g}/\Lambda,$$

where we pick an k basis for  $H^0(C,\Omega_C)=k\omega_1\oplus\ldots\oplus k\omega_g$ , hence,

$$\Lambda = \left\{ \left( \int_{\gamma} \omega_1, \dots, \int_{\gamma} \omega_g \right) \in \mathbb{C}^g : \gamma \in H_1(C, \mathbb{Z}) \right\} \cong \mathbb{Z}^{2g}.$$

In other words, J is a complex torus (plus a polarization).

 $\cdot$  We can calculate  $\Lambda$  numerically by taking a plane model

## An analytic description of the Jacobian

Via a chosen embedding of k into  $\mathbb{C}$  and a projection into  $\mathbb{P}^2$ , we can consider C as a Riemann surface, and

$$J_{\mathbb{C}} = H^{0}(C, \Omega_{C})^{\vee}/H_{1}(C, \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{C}^{g}/\Lambda,$$

where we pick an k basis for  $H^0(C,\Omega_C)=k\omega_1\oplus\ldots\oplus k\omega_g$ , hence,

$$\Lambda = \left\{ \left( \int_{\gamma} \omega_1, \dots, \int_{\gamma} \omega_g \right) \in \mathbb{C}^g : \gamma \in H_1(C, \mathbb{Z}) \right\} \cong \mathbb{Z}^{2g}.$$

In other words, J is a complex torus (plus a polarization).

- $\cdot$  We can calculate  $\Lambda$  numerically by taking a plane model
- Using  $\Lambda$ , we can hope to understand J analytically... and perhaps even be able to transfer these results to the algebraic setting.

### **Heuristic** solution

By picking a k-basis for  $H^0(C, \Omega_C)$ , we have

$$End(J) = \{ T \in M_g(k) \mid T\Lambda \subset \Lambda \}$$

Hence, if  $\Pi$  is a period matrix for C, i.e.,  $\Lambda = \Pi \mathbb{Z}^{2g}$ , then we are reduced to finding a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -basis of the solutions (T, R) to

$$T\Pi = \Pi R, \qquad T \in M_g(k^{al}), \quad R \in M_{2g}(\mathbb{Z}).$$

The Galois module structure of  $End(J^{al})$  is given via its action on  $T \in M_g(k^{al})$ .

### **Heuristic solution**

By picking a k-basis for  $H^0(C, \Omega_C)$ , we have

$$\mathsf{End}(J) = \{ T \in M_g(k) \mid T\Lambda \subset \Lambda \}$$

Hence, if  $\Pi$  is a period matrix for C, i.e.,  $\Lambda = \Pi \mathbb{Z}^{2g}$ , then we are reduced to finding a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -basis of the solutions (T, R) to

$$T\Pi = \Pi R, \qquad T \in M_g(k^{al}), \quad R \in M_{2g}(\mathbb{Z}).$$

The Galois module structure of  $End(J^{al})$  is given via its action on  $T \in M_g(k^{al})$ .

Heuristically, via lattice reduction algorithms, we can find such a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -basis.

### **Heuristic solution**

By picking a k-basis for  $H^0(C, \Omega_C)$ , we have

$$\mathsf{End}(J) = \{ T \in M_g(k) \mid T\Lambda \subset \Lambda \}$$

Hence, if  $\Pi$  is a period matrix for C, i.e.,  $\Lambda = \Pi \mathbb{Z}^{2g}$ , then we are reduced to finding a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -basis of the solutions (T, R) to

$$T\Pi = \Pi R, \qquad T \in M_g(k^{\mathsf{al}}), \quad R \in M_{2g}(\mathbb{Z}).$$

The Galois module structure of  $End(J^{al})$  is given via its action on  $T \in M_g(k^{al})$ .

Heuristically, via lattice reduction algorithms, we can find such a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -basis.

There is no obvious way to prove that our guesses are actually correct.

## Representing endomorphisms via correspondences

$$\alpha_C : C \xrightarrow{AJ} J \xrightarrow{\alpha} J - - - - \rightarrow \operatorname{Sym}^g(C)$$

$$P \mapsto \{Q_1, \dots, Q_g\} \iff \alpha([P - P_0]) = \left[\sum_{i=1}^g Q_i - P_0\right]$$

This traces out a divisor on  $C \times C$ , which determines  $\alpha$ .

## Representing endomorphisms via correspondences

$$\alpha_C : C \xrightarrow{AJ} J \xrightarrow{\alpha} J - - - - \rightarrow Sym^g(C)$$

$$P \mapsto \{Q_1, \dots, Q_g\} \iff \alpha([P - P_0]) = \left[\sum_{i=1}^g Q_i - P_0\right]$$

This traces out a divisor on  $C \times C$ , which determines  $\alpha$ .

This divisor is a certificate of containment  $\alpha_{\bullet}$  for  $\alpha \in \operatorname{End} J^{\operatorname{al}}$ .

# Representing endomorphisms via correspondences

$$\alpha_C : C \xrightarrow{AJ} J \xrightarrow{\alpha} J ---- \to \operatorname{Sym}^g(C)$$

$$P \mapsto \{Q_1, \dots, Q_g\} \iff \alpha([P - P_0]) = \left[\sum_{i=1}^g Q_i - P_0\right]$$

This traces out a divisor on  $C \times C$ , which determines  $\alpha$ .

This divisor is a certificate of containment  $\alpha$  for  $\alpha \in \operatorname{End} J^{\operatorname{al}}$ .

## Theorem (C-Mascot-Sijsling-Voight)

We give an algorithm for

$$\mathrm{M}_g(k^{\mathsf{al}}) 
ightharpoonup \alpha \mapsto \begin{cases} \textit{true} & \text{if } \alpha \in \mathsf{End} J^{\mathsf{al}}, \text{and a certificate } \boxed{\alpha_ullet} \\ \textit{false} & \text{if } \alpha \notin \mathsf{End} J^{\mathsf{al}} \end{cases}$$

By interpolation via  $\alpha_C$  or by locally solving a differential equation on  $C \times C$ .

## Theorem (C-Mascot-Sijsling-Voight, C-Lombardo-Voight, C-Sertöz)

We give an algorithm that computes  $\operatorname{End} J^{\operatorname{al}}$  with a certificate  $\checkmark_{\bullet}$ .

This is a day/night algorithm:

• By day, we compute  $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{C}^g$  numerically and then certify  $B \subseteq \operatorname{End} J^{\operatorname{al}}$ .

## Theorem (C-Mascot-Sijsling-Voight, C-Lombardo-Voight, C-Sertöz)

We give an algorithm that computes  $End_{J}^{al}$  with a certificate  $\checkmark$ .



- By day, we compute  $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{C}^g$  numerically and then certify  $B \subset \operatorname{End} I^{\operatorname{al}}$ .
- By night, we search for evidence that End  $I^{al} \subset B$ .

## Theorem (C-Mascot-Sijsling-Voight, C-Lombardo-Voight, C-Sertöz)

We give an algorithm that computes End J<sup>al</sup> with a certificate .

This is a day/night algorithm:

· By day, we compute  $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{C}^g$  numerically and then certify  $B \subseteq \operatorname{End} J^{\operatorname{al}}$ .

$$\mathrm{M}_g(k^{\mathsf{al}}) \ni \alpha \mapsto \begin{cases} \mathsf{true} & \text{if } \alpha \in \mathsf{End} J^{\mathsf{al}}, \text{ and a certificate } \boxed{\alpha_{ullet}} \\ \mathsf{false} & \text{if } \alpha \notin \mathsf{End} J^{\mathsf{al}} \end{cases}$$

• By night, we search for evidence that  $\operatorname{End} J^{\operatorname{al}} \subseteq B$ .

## Theorem (C-Mascot-Sijsling-Voight, C-Lombardo-Voight, C-Sertöz)

We give an algorithm that computes  $End_{J}^{al}$  with a certificate  $\checkmark$ .



- By day, we compute  $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{C}^g$  numerically and then certify  $B \subset \operatorname{End} I^{\operatorname{al}}$ .
- By night, we search for evidence that End  $I^{al} \subset B$ .

## Theorem (C-Mascot-Sijsling-Voight, C-Lombardo-Voight, C-Sertöz)

We give an algorithm that computes End Jal with a certificate  $\checkmark$ 



- By day, we compute  $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{C}^g$  numerically and then certify  $B \subset \operatorname{End} J^{\operatorname{al}}$ .
- By night, we search for evidence that End  $I^{al} \subset B$ .

$$\{L_{p_1}(t):=\det(1-t\operatorname{Frob}_p|H^1),L_{p_2}(t),\ldots,L_{p_i}(t)\}\longmapsto \operatorname{upper\ bounds\ on\ End\ }J^{\operatorname{al}}$$

- The  $L_n(t)$  polynomials are as random as End  $I^{al}$  allows it.
- Two polynomials  $L_p(t)$  and  $L_q(t)$  give an upperbound for End  $J^{al}$ .

## Theorem (C-Mascot-Sijsling-Voight, C-Lombardo-Voight, C-Sertöz)

We give an algorithm that computes  $\operatorname{End} J^{\operatorname{al}}$  with a certificate  $\boxed{\checkmark_{ullet}}$ .

- By day, we compute  $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{C}^g$  numerically and then certify  $B \subseteq \operatorname{End} J^{\operatorname{al}}$ .
- By night, we search for evidence that  $\operatorname{End} J^{\operatorname{al}} \subseteq B$ .

$$\{L_{p_1}(t) := \det(1 - t\operatorname{Frob}_p|H^1), L_{p_2}(t), \dots, L_{p_i}(t)\} \longmapsto \operatorname{upper bounds on } \operatorname{End} J^{\operatorname{al}}$$

- The  $L_p(t)$  polynomials are as random as End  $J^{al}$  allows it.
- Two polynomials  $L_p(t)$  and  $L_q(t)$  give an upperbound for End  $J^{al}$ .
- this upper bound is sharp for (p,q) in a set of positive density, under the Mumford–Tate conjecture,
- · The set is unknown apriori.

### Theorem (C-Mascot-Sijsling-Voight, C-Lombardo-Voight, C-Sertöz)

We give an algorithm that computes End  $J^{al}$  with a certificate  $\checkmark_{\bullet}$ .



- By day, we compute  $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{C}^g$  numerically and then certify  $B \subset \operatorname{End} J^{\operatorname{al}}$ .
- By night, we search for evidence that End  $J^{al} \subset B$ .

$$\operatorname{\mathsf{Frob}}_p \operatorname{\mathsf{mod}} p^N \ \ \, \bigcap \ \ \, H^1_{\operatorname{\mathsf{crys}}}(\mathcal{C},\mathbb{Z}_p) \longmapsto \operatorname{\mathsf{upper}} \operatorname{\mathsf{bounds}} \operatorname{\mathsf{on}} \ \, \operatorname{\mathsf{End}} J^{\operatorname{\mathsf{al}}}$$

- We check what correspondences  $C \rightsquigarrow C \mod p$  lift to  $C \rightsquigarrow C \mod p^N$ .
- Frob<sub>p</sub> mod  $p^N$  is a byproduct of computing  $L_p(t) = \det(1 t \operatorname{Frob}_p | H_{\text{MW}}^1)$ .

• We have verified, decomposed and matched the 66 158 curves over  $\mathbb Q$  of genus 2 in the *L-functions and modular form database* **LMFDB.org** 

- We have verified, decomposed and matched the 66 158 curves over Q of genus 2 in the L-functions and modular form database LMFDB.org
- The algorithm verifies that the following genus 4 curve over  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{3})$

$$0 = -8x^{2} + 8xy + 17y^{2} - 34xz - 2yz - 28z^{2} - 10xw - 9yw - 18zw + 2w^{2},$$
  

$$0 = 4x^{3} - 6x^{2}y - 6xy^{2} + 12x^{2}z + 6xyz + 24y^{2}z - 12xz^{2} - 24z^{3} + 2x^{2}w + 7xyw$$
  

$$+ 4y^{2}w + 4xzw - 13yzw - 8z^{2}w - 20xw^{2} - 3zw^{2} - 12w^{3}$$

has real multiplication by the maximal order of  $\mathbb{Q}(x)/(x^4-x^3-3x^2+x+1)$ .

• The algorithm verifies that the following genus 4 curve over  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{3})$ 

$$0 = -8x^{2} + 8xy + 17y^{2} - 34xz - 2yz - 28z^{2} - 10xw - 9yw - 18zw + 2w^{2},$$
  

$$0 = 4x^{3} - 6x^{2}y - 6xy^{2} + 12x^{2}z + 6xyz + 24y^{2}z - 12xz^{2} - 24z^{3} + 2x^{2}w + 7xyw + 4y^{2}w + 4xzw - 13yzw - 8z^{2}w - 20xw^{2} - 3zw^{2} - 12w^{3}$$

has real multiplication by the maximal order of  $\mathbb{Q}(x)/(x^4-x^3-3x^2+x+1)$ . The first step to show that, under Langlands, it corresponds to a specific Hilbert modular form f, i.e.,  $J_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{3})} \sim A_f$ .

We used this in a recent project, where we show that the 2-isogeny field of  $A_f$  solves the inverse Galois problem for  $PSL_2(\mathbb{F}_{16}) \rtimes C_2 \simeq 17T7$ .

• The algorithm verifies that the following genus 4 curve over  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{3})$ 

$$0 = -8x^{2} + 8xy + 17y^{2} - 34xz - 2yz - 28z^{2} - 10xw - 9yw - 18zw + 2w^{2},$$
  

$$0 = 4x^{3} - 6x^{2}y - 6xy^{2} + 12x^{2}z + 6xyz + 24y^{2}z - 12xz^{2} - 24z^{3} + 2x^{2}w + 7xyw + 4y^{2}w + 4xzw - 13yzw - 8z^{2}w - 20xw^{2} - 3zw^{2} - 12w^{3}$$

has real multiplication by the maximal order of  $\mathbb{Q}(x)/(x^4-x^3-3x^2+x+1)$ . The first step to show that, under Langlands, it corresponds to a specific Hilbert modular form f, i.e.,  $J_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{3})} \sim A_f$ .

We used this in a recent project, where we show that the 2-isogeny field of  $A_f$  solves the inverse Galois problem for  $PSL_2(\mathbb{F}_{16}) \rtimes C_2 \simeq 17T7$ .

- · Our method works just as well for isogenies and projections.
- Try it: https://github.com/edgarcosta/endomorphisms

There are several equivalent ways to define K3 surfaces.

### Definition

An algebraic **K3 surface** is a smooth projective simply-connected surface with trivial canonical class.

There are several equivalent ways to define K3 surfaces.

### Definition

An algebraic **K3 surface** is a smooth projective simply-connected surface with trivial canonical class.

They may arise in many ways:

• smooth quartic surface in  $\mathbb{P}^3$ 

$$X: f(x, y, z, w) = 0, \deg f = 4$$

e.g. Fermat quartic surface  $x^4 + y^4 + z^4 + w^4 = 0$ .

There are several equivalent ways to define K3 surfaces.

#### Definition

An algebraic **K3 surface** is a smooth projective simply-connected surface with trivial canonical class.

They may arise in many ways:

• smooth quartic surface in  $\mathbb{P}^3$ 

$$X: f(x, y, z, w) = 0, \deg f = 4$$

• double cover of  $\mathbb{P}^2$  branched over a sextic curve  $\mathbb{P}(3,1,1,1)$ 

$$X: W^2 = f(x, y, z), \quad \deg f = 6$$

e.g. Fermat like surface  $w^2 = x^6 + y^6 + z^6$ .

There are several equivalent ways to define K3 surfaces.

#### Definition

An algebraic **K3 surface** is a smooth projective simply-connected surface with trivial canonical class.

They may arise in many ways:

• smooth quartic surface in  $\mathbb{P}^3$ 

$$X: f(x, y, z, w) = 0, \deg f = 4$$

• double cover of  $\mathbb{P}^2$  branched over a sextic curve  $\mathbb{P}(3,1,1,1)$ 

$$X: W^2 = f(x, y, z), \quad \deg f = 6$$

• Kummer surfaces, Kummer(A) :=  $A/\pm$ , with A an abelian surface.

Let X be a K3 surface defined over  $k \subset \mathbb{C}$ . We view X also as a complex manifold.

 $\mathsf{NS}\,X^{\mathsf{al}}\simeq\mathsf{Pic}\,X^{\mathsf{al}}\simeq\mathbb{Z}\langle\mathsf{algebraic}\;\mathsf{curves}\;\mathsf{in}\,X\rangle/\langle\mathsf{linear}\;\mathsf{equivalences}\rangle\subset H_2(X,\mathbb{Z})$ 

Let X be a K3 surface defined over  $k \subset \mathbb{C}$ . We view X also as a complex manifold.

 $NSX^{al} \simeq PicX^{al} \simeq \mathbb{Z}\langle algebraic curves in X \rangle / \langle linear equivalences \rangle \subset H_2(X, \mathbb{Z})$ 

Plays a similar role as End(A) for an abelian variety A

$$\mathsf{NS}(A) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \simeq \{ \phi \in \mathsf{End}(A) \otimes \mathbb{Q} : \phi^{\dagger} = \phi \},$$

where † denotes the Rosati involution.

Let X be a K3 surface defined over  $k \subset \mathbb{C}$ . We view X also as a complex manifold.

 $NS X^{al} \simeq Pic X^{al} \simeq \mathbb{Z}\langle algebraic curves in X \rangle / \langle linear equivalences \rangle \subset H_2(X, \mathbb{Z})$ 

Plays a similar role as End(A) for an abelian variety A

$$NS(A) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \simeq \{ \phi \in End(A) \otimes \mathbb{Q} : \phi^{\dagger} = \phi \},$$

where † denotes the Rosati involution.

Over  $\mathbb{Q}^{al}$ , we have

$$\operatorname{Pic} X^{\operatorname{al}} \simeq H^{1,1}(X) \cap H^2(X,\mathbb{Z})$$

Let X be a K3 surface defined over  $k \subset \mathbb{C}$ . We view X also as a complex manifold.

 $NSX^{al} \simeq PicX^{al} \simeq \mathbb{Z}\langle algebraic curves in X \rangle / \langle linear equivalences \rangle \subset H_2(X, \mathbb{Z})$ 

Plays a similar role as End(A) for an abelian variety A

$$\mathsf{NS}(\mathsf{A}) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \simeq \{ \phi \in \mathsf{End}(\mathsf{A}) \otimes \mathbb{Q} : \phi^\dagger = \phi \},$$

where † denotes the Rosati involution.

Over  $\mathbb{Q}^{al}$ , we have

$$\operatorname{Pic} X^{\operatorname{al}} \simeq H^{1,1}(X) \cap H^2(X,\mathbb{Z}) \subsetneq H^2(X,\mathbb{Z}) \simeq (-E_8)^2 \oplus U^3 \simeq \mathbb{Z}^{22}$$

Let X be a K3 surface defined over  $k \subset \mathbb{C}$ . We view X also as a complex manifold.

 $NSX^{al} \simeq PicX^{al} \simeq \mathbb{Z}\langle algebraic curves in X \rangle / \langle linear equivalences \rangle \subset H_2(X,\mathbb{Z})$ 

Plays a similar role as End(A) for an abelian variety A

$$NS(A) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \simeq \{ \phi \in End(A) \otimes \mathbb{Q} : \phi^{\dagger} = \phi \},$$

where † denotes the Rosati involution.

Over  $\mathbb{Q}^{al}$ , we have

$$\operatorname{Pic} X^{\operatorname{al}} \simeq H^{1,1}(X) \cap H^2(X,\mathbb{Z}) \subsetneq H^2(X,\mathbb{Z}) \simeq (-E_8)^2 \oplus U^3 \simeq \mathbb{Z}^{22}$$

Thus,  $1 \le \text{rk Pic } X^{\text{al}} \le 20 = \dim H^{1,1}(X)$ .

A generic K3 surface has  $rk Pic X^{al} = 1$ 

Let X be a K3 surface defined over  $k \subset \mathbb{C}$ . We view X also as a complex manifold.

$$NS X^{al} \simeq Pic X^{al} \simeq \mathbb{Z}\langle algebraic curves in X \rangle / \langle linear equivalences \rangle \subset H_2(X, \mathbb{Z})$$

Over  $\mathbb{Q}^{\mathsf{al}}$ , we have

$$\operatorname{Pic} X^{\operatorname{al}} \simeq H^{1,1}(X) \cap H^2(X,\mathbb{Z}) \subsetneq H^2(X,\mathbb{Z}) \simeq (-E_8)^2 \oplus U^3 \simeq \mathbb{Z}^{22}$$

Thus,  $1 \le \text{rk Pic } X^{\text{al}} \le 20 = \dim H^{1,1}(X)$ .

A generic K3 surface has  $rk Pic X^{al} = 1$ 

Let X be a K3 surface defined over  $k \subset \mathbb{C}$ . We view X also as a complex manifold.

 $\operatorname{Pic} X^{\operatorname{al}} \simeq \mathbb{Z}\langle \operatorname{algebraic curves in} X \rangle / \langle \operatorname{linear equivalences} \rangle \subset H_2(X,\mathbb{Z})$ 

#### Goal

From the equations of X, compute  $\operatorname{Pic} X^{\operatorname{al}} \subset H_2(X, \mathbb{Z})$  as a  $\operatorname{Gal}(k^{\operatorname{al}}/k)$ -module.

"The evaluation of ho for a given surface presents in general grave difficulties." — Zariski

Let X be a K3 surface defined over  $k \subset \mathbb{C}$ . We view X also as a complex manifold.

 $\operatorname{Pic} X^{\operatorname{al}} \simeq \mathbb{Z}\langle \operatorname{algebraic curves in} X \rangle / \langle \operatorname{linear equivalences} \rangle \subset H_2(X,\mathbb{Z})$ 

#### Goal

From the equations of X, compute  $\operatorname{Pic} X^{\operatorname{al}} \subset H_2(X,\mathbb{Z})$  as a  $\operatorname{Gal}(k^{\operatorname{al}}/k)$ -module.

"The evaluation of ho for a given surface presents in general grave difficulties." — Zariski

"New and interesting" Galois representations arise from T(X):

$$H^2(X,\mathbb{Q}) \simeq Pic(X^{\mathsf{al}})_{\mathbb{Q}} \oplus T(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$$

Let X be a K3 surface defined over  $k \subset \mathbb{C}$ . We view X also as a complex manifold.

 $\operatorname{Pic} X^{\operatorname{al}} \simeq \mathbb{Z}\langle \operatorname{algebraic curves in} X \rangle / \langle \operatorname{linear equivalences} \rangle \subset H_2(X,\mathbb{Z})$ 

#### Goal

From the equations of X, compute  $\operatorname{Pic} X^{\operatorname{al}} \subset H_2(X,\mathbb{Z})$  as a  $\operatorname{Gal}(k^{\operatorname{al}}/k)$ -module.

"The evaluation of ho for a given surface presents in general grave difficulties." — Zariski

"New and interesting" Galois representations arise from T(X):

$$H^2(X,\mathbb{Q}) \simeq Pic(X^{\mathsf{al}})_{\mathbb{Q}} \oplus T(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$$

Useful for studying rational points, via a potential Brauer–Manin obstruction:

$$H^1(\mathsf{Gal}(k^{\mathsf{al}}/k),\mathsf{Pic}\,X^{\mathsf{al}})\simeq\mathsf{Br}_1(X)/\mathit{Br}_0(X)$$
  
 $X(k)\subset X(\mathbb{A}_k)^{\mathsf{Br}}\subset X(\mathbb{A}_k)$ 

Let X be a K3 surface defined over  $k \subset \mathbb{C}$ . We view X also as a complex manifold.

 $\operatorname{Pic} X^{\operatorname{al}} \simeq \mathbb{Z}\langle \operatorname{algebraic curves in} X \rangle / \langle \operatorname{linear equivalences} \rangle \subset H_2(X, \mathbb{Z})$ 

#### Goal

From the equations of X, compute  $\operatorname{Pic} X^{\operatorname{al}} \subset H_2(X, \mathbb{Z})$  as a  $\operatorname{Gal}(k^{\operatorname{al}}/k)$ -module.

Let X be a K3 surface defined over  $k \subset \mathbb{C}$ . We view X also as a complex manifold.

 $\operatorname{Pic} X^{\operatorname{al}} \simeq \mathbb{Z}\langle \operatorname{algebraic curves in} X \rangle / \langle \operatorname{linear equivalences} \rangle \subset H_2(X,\mathbb{Z})$ 

#### Goal

From the equations of X, compute  $\operatorname{Pic} X^{\operatorname{al}} \subset H_2(X,\mathbb{Z})$  as a  $\operatorname{Gal}(k^{\operatorname{al}}/k)$ -module.

Over a finite field, the Tate conjecture (proven) gives us rk Pic X from

$$\det(1 - t \operatorname{\mathsf{Frob}}|H^2(X, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)) \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$$

and Artin–Tate conjecture (proven) also gives disc Pic X<sup>al</sup> modulo squares.

Let X be a K3 surface defined over  $k \subset \mathbb{C}$ . We view X also as a complex manifold.

 $\operatorname{Pic} X^{\operatorname{al}} \simeq \mathbb{Z}\langle \operatorname{algebraic} \operatorname{curves} \operatorname{in} X \rangle / \langle \operatorname{linear} \operatorname{equivalences} \rangle \subset H_2(X,\mathbb{Z})$ 

#### Goal

From the equations of X, compute  $\operatorname{Pic} X^{\operatorname{al}} \subset H_2(X, \mathbb{Z})$  as a  $\operatorname{Gal}(k^{\operatorname{al}}/k)$ -module.

Over a finite field, the Tate conjecture (proven) gives us rk Pic X from

$$\det(1 - t \operatorname{Frob}|H^2(X, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)) \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$$

and Artin-Tate conjecture (proven) also gives disc Pic X<sup>al</sup> modulo squares.

Over a number field, there are several in principle algorithms to compute rk Pic X or even Pic X. These involve, a day/night algorithm:

- by day: find curve classes in Pic X;
- by night: restrict the ambient space for  $Pic X \subset H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$ .

#### Lefschetz (1,1) theorem

A homology class  $\gamma \in H_2(X, \mathbb{Z})$  is in  $\operatorname{Pic} X^{\operatorname{al}}$  if and only if  $\int_{\gamma} \omega_X = 0$ , where  $\omega_X$  is the nonzero holomorphic 2-form  $\omega_X$  on X, unique up to scaling.

#### Lefschetz (1,1) theorem

A homology class  $\gamma \in H_2(X, \mathbb{Z})$  is in  $\operatorname{Pic} X^{\operatorname{al}}$  if and only if  $\int_{\gamma} \omega_X = 0$ , where  $\omega_X$  is the nonzero holomorphic 2-form  $\omega_X$  on X, unique up to scaling.

$$\Pi R = 0, \qquad R \in H_2(X, \mathbb{Z}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}^{22}.$$

### Lefschetz (1,1) theorem

A homology class  $\gamma \in H_2(X, \mathbb{Z})$  is in Pic  $X^{\text{al}}$  if and only if  $\int_{\gamma} \omega_X = 0$ , where  $\omega_X$  is the nonzero holomorphic 2-form  $\omega_X$  on X, unique up to scaling.

$$\Pi R = 0, \qquad R \in H_2(X, \mathbb{Z}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}^{22}.$$

- $\Pi$  can be computed:
  - · rigorously as a ball via deformation for projective hypersurfaces (Sertöz)
  - heuristically for degree 2 surfaces branched over 6 lines (Elsenhans–Jahnel)

### Lefschetz (1,1) theorem

A homology class  $\gamma \in H_2(X, \mathbb{Z})$  is in Pic  $X^{al}$  if and only if  $\int_{\gamma} \omega_X = 0$ , where  $\omega_X$  is the nonzero holomorphic 2-form  $\omega_X$  on X, unique up to scaling.

$$\Pi R = 0, \qquad R \in H_2(X, \mathbb{Z}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}^{22}.$$

- $\cdot$   $\Pi$  can be computed:
  - · rigorously as a ball via deformation for projective hypersurfaces (Sertöz)
  - · heuristically for degree 2 surfaces branched over 6 lines (Elsenhans–Jahnel)
- Heuristically, via lattice reduction algorithms, we can find  $\Lambda \subset H_2(X, \mathbb{Z})$ .
- There is no obvious way to prove that our guesses are actually correct.

### Lefschetz (1,1) theorem

A homology class  $\gamma \in H_2(X, \mathbb{Z})$  is in Pic  $X^{al}$  if and only if  $\int_{\gamma} \omega_X = 0$ , where  $\omega_X$  is the nonzero holomorphic 2-form  $\omega_X$  on X, unique up to scaling.

$$\Pi R = 0, \qquad R \in H_2(X, \mathbb{Z}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}^{22}.$$

- $\cdot$   $\Pi$  can be computed:
  - · rigorously as a ball via deformation for projective hypersurfaces (Sertöz)
  - heuristically for degree 2 surfaces branched over 6 lines (Elsenhans–Jahnel)
- Heuristically, via lattice reduction algorithms, we can find  $\Lambda \subset H_2(X, \mathbb{Z})$ .
- There is no obvious way to prove that our guesses are actually correct.
- · Nonetheless, given  $\Pi$  as a ball, one can compute  $B\gg 0$  such that such that

$$\operatorname{Pic}(X^{\operatorname{al}})_{|B} := \mathbb{Z}\langle \gamma \in \operatorname{Pic}X^{\operatorname{al}} \mid -\gamma_{\operatorname{prim}}^2 < B \rangle \subseteq \Lambda$$
 (Lairez-Sertöz).

$$X : X^4 + Xyzw + y^3z + yw^3 + z^3w = 0 \subset \mathbb{P}^3$$

• It is a fiber in a pencil that has generic rank 19, thus  $rk \operatorname{Pic} X^{al} \ge 19$ .

$$X: X^4 + Xyzw + y^3z + yw^3 + z^3w = 0 \subset \mathbb{P}^3$$

- It is a fiber in a pencil that has generic rank 19, thus  $rk \operatorname{Pic} X^{al} \ge 19$ .
- Matching upper bounds can be deduced by positive characteristic methods.

$$X: X^4 + Xyzw + y^3z + yw^3 + z^3w = 0 \subset \mathbb{P}^3$$

- It is a fiber in a pencil that has generic rank 19, thus  $rk \operatorname{Pic} X^{al} \ge 19$ .
- Matching upper bounds can be deduced by positive characteristic methods.
  - The Picard rank of a K3 surface over  $\mathbb{F}_p^{\mathsf{al}}$  is always even.

$$X: X^4 + Xyzw + y^3z + yw^3 + z^3w = 0 \subset \mathbb{P}^3$$

- It is a fiber in a pencil that has generic rank 19, thus  $rk \operatorname{Pic} X^{al} \geq 19$ .
- Matching upper bounds can be deduced by positive characteristic methods.
  - The Picard rank of a K3 surface over  $\mathbb{F}_p^{\mathsf{al}}$  is always even.
  - $\cdot$  If p and q are two primes of good reduction, such that

$$\operatorname{rk}\operatorname{Pic}X^{\operatorname{al}}_{\mathbb{F}_p}=\operatorname{rk}\operatorname{Pic}X^{\operatorname{al}}_{\mathbb{F}_q}=20 \text{ and }\operatorname{disc}\operatorname{Pic}X^{\operatorname{al}}_{\mathbb{F}_p}\neq\operatorname{disc}\operatorname{Pic}X^{\operatorname{al}}_{\mathbb{F}_q}\Longrightarrow\operatorname{rk}\operatorname{Pic}X^{\operatorname{al}}<20.$$

this can be deduced from  $\det(1 - t \operatorname{Frob}_{\bullet}|H^2(X,\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}))$ . (van Luijk)

$$X: X^4 + xyzw + y^3z + yw^3 + z^3w = 0 \subset \mathbb{P}^3$$

- It is a fiber in a pencil that has generic rank 19, thus  $rk \operatorname{Pic} X^{al} \ge 19$ .
- Matching upper bounds can be deduced by positive characteristic methods.
  - The Picard rank of a K3 surface over  $\mathbb{F}_p^{\mathsf{al}}$  is always even.
  - $\cdot$  If p and q are two primes of good reduction, such that

$$\operatorname{rk}\operatorname{Pic}X^{\operatorname{al}}_{\mathbb{F}_p}=\operatorname{rk}\operatorname{Pic}X^{\operatorname{al}}_{\mathbb{F}_q}=20 \ \operatorname{and} \ \operatorname{disc}\operatorname{Pic}X^{\operatorname{al}}_{\mathbb{F}_p}\neq\operatorname{disc}\operatorname{Pic}X^{\operatorname{al}}_{\mathbb{F}_q}\Longrightarrow\operatorname{rk}\operatorname{Pic}X^{\operatorname{al}}<20.$$

this can be deduced from  $\det(1 - t \operatorname{Frob}_{\bullet}|H^2(X, \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}))$ . (van Luijk)

• Use p-adic variational Hodge conjecture to show that only at most 19 classes lift to characteristic zero. One needs  $\operatorname{Frob}_p \operatorname{mod} p^N \longrightarrow H^1_{\operatorname{crys}}(\mathcal{C},\mathbb{Z}_p)$  (C-Sertöz)

$$X : x^4 + xyzw + y^3z + yw^3 + z^3w = 0 \subset \mathbb{P}^3$$

- It is a fiber in a pencil that has generic rank 19, thus  $rk \operatorname{Pic} X^{al} \ge 19$ .
- Matching upper bounds can be deduced by positive characteristic methods.
  - The Picard rank of a K3 surface over  $\mathbb{F}_p^{\mathsf{al}}$  is always even.
  - $\cdot$  If p and q are two primes of good reduction, such that

$$\operatorname{rk}\operatorname{Pic}X^{\operatorname{al}}_{\mathbb{F}_p}=\operatorname{rk}\operatorname{Pic}X^{\operatorname{al}}_{\mathbb{F}_q}=20\ \text{ and }\ \operatorname{disc}\operatorname{Pic}X^{\operatorname{al}}_{\mathbb{F}_p}\neq\operatorname{disc}\operatorname{Pic}X^{\operatorname{al}}_{\mathbb{F}_q}\Longrightarrow\operatorname{rk}\operatorname{Pic}X^{\operatorname{al}}<20.$$

this can be deduced from  $\det(1 - t \operatorname{Frob}_{\bullet}|H^2(X, \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}))$ . (van Luijk)

- Use p-adic variational Hodge conjecture to show that only at most 19 classes lift to characteristic zero. One needs  $\operatorname{Frob}_p \operatorname{mod} p^N \bigcap_{\mathsf{z}} H^1_{\operatorname{crys}}(C, \mathbb{Z}_p)$  (C-Sertöz)
- No known explicit descriptions of  $Pic X^{al}$ .

$$X: X^4 + Xyzw + y^3z + yw^3 + z^3w = 0 \subset \mathbb{P}^3$$

- It is a fiber in a pencil that has generic rank 19, thus  $rk \operatorname{Pic} X^{al} \ge 19$ .
- Matching upper bounds can be deduced by positive characteristic methods.
- No known explicit descriptions of  $Pic X^{al}$ .
- · Heuristically, one computes  $\Lambda \simeq \mathbb{Z}^{19}$  such that

$$\Pi\Lambda \approx 0$$
  $\operatorname{Pic}(X^{\operatorname{al}})_{|B} \subseteq \Lambda \stackrel{?}{\subseteq} \operatorname{Pic}X^{\operatorname{al}}.$ 

$$X: X^4 + Xyzw + y^3z + yw^3 + z^3w = 0 \subset \mathbb{P}^3$$

- It is a fiber in a pencil that has generic rank 19, thus  $rk \operatorname{Pic} X^{al} \ge 19$ .
- Matching upper bounds can be deduced by positive characteristic methods.
- No known explicit descriptions of  $Pic X^{al}$ .
- · Heuristically, one computes  $\Lambda \simeq \mathbb{Z}^{19}$  such that

$$\Pi\Lambda \approx 0$$
  $\operatorname{Pic}(X^{al})_{|B} \subseteq \Lambda \stackrel{?}{\subseteq} \operatorname{Pic}X^{al}.$ 

• We can compute Aut  $\Lambda$ , the isomorphism class seems to be  $F_7 \times PGL(2,7)$ .

$$X : x^4 + xyzw + y^3z + yw^3 + z^3w = 0 \subset \mathbb{P}^3$$

- It is a fiber in a pencil that has generic rank 19, thus  $rk \operatorname{Pic} X^{al} \ge 19$ .
- Matching upper bounds can be deduced by positive characteristic methods.
- No known explicit descriptions of  $Pic X^{al}$ .
- · Heuristically, one computes  $\Lambda \simeq \mathbb{Z}^{19}$  such that

$$\Pi\Lambda \approx 0$$
  $\operatorname{Pic}(X^{\operatorname{al}})_{|B} \subseteq \Lambda \stackrel{?}{\subseteq} \operatorname{Pic}X^{\operatorname{al}}.$ 

- We can compute Aut  $\Lambda$ , the isomorphism class seems to be  $F_7 \times PGL(2,7)$ .
- No small rational curves: There are no lines, no conics, no twisted cubics.
- The "smallest" non-trivial curves that appear are smooth rational quartics.

$$X: X^4 + Xyzw + y^3z + yw^3 + z^3w = 0 \subset \mathbb{P}^3$$

- It is a fiber in a pencil that has generic rank 19, thus  $rk \operatorname{Pic} X^{al} \ge 19$ .
- Matching upper bounds can be deduced by positive characteristic methods.
- No known explicit descriptions of  $Pic X^{al}$ .
- · Heuristically, one computes  $\Lambda \simeq \mathbb{Z}^{19}$  such that

$$\Pi\Lambda \approx 0$$
  $\operatorname{Pic}(X^{\operatorname{al}})_{|B} \subseteq \Lambda \stackrel{?}{\subseteq} \operatorname{Pic}X^{\operatorname{al}}.$ 

- We can compute Aut  $\Lambda$ , the isomorphism class seems to be  $F_7 \times PGL(2,7)$ .
- $\boldsymbol{\cdot}$  No small rational curves: There are no lines, no conics, no twisted cubics.
- The "smallest" non-trivial curves that appear are smooth rational quartics.
- $\cdot$  Lattice computations with  $\Lambda$  predict that there are

#### 133056

smooth rational quartics spanning  $\Lambda$ .

# Reconstructing isolated curves from their Hodge classes

Turns out one can compute a bit more for hypersurfaces

$$\varphi: H_2(X, \mathbb{Z}) \times H^2_{dR}(X/k) \to \mathbb{C} \qquad (\gamma, \omega) \longmapsto \int_{\gamma} \omega$$

Note, if  $\gamma \in \operatorname{Pic} X^{\operatorname{al}}$ , then  $\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \omega \in k^{\operatorname{al}}$  for  $\omega \in F^1 H^2_{\operatorname{dR}}(X/k)$ .

# Reconstructing isolated curves from their Hodge classes

Turns out one can compute a bit more for hypersurfaces

$$\varphi: H_2(X, \mathbb{Z}) \times H^2_{dR}(X/k) \to \mathbb{C} \qquad (\gamma, \omega) \longmapsto \int_{\gamma} \omega$$

Note, if  $\gamma \in \operatorname{Pic} X^{\operatorname{al}}$ , then  $\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \omega \in k^{\operatorname{al}}$  for  $\omega \in F^1 H^2_{\operatorname{dR}}(X/k)$ .

#### Theorem (Movasati-Sertöz)

If  $\gamma = [C] \in H_2(X, \mathbb{Z})$  for a curve  $C \subset X$  then from  $\frac{1}{2\pi i} (\int_{\gamma} \omega)_{\omega \in F^1}$  one can construct an ideal  $I_{\gamma}$  such that  $I(C) \subsetneq I_{\gamma}$ .

In favorable circumstances we expect low order equations in  $I_{\gamma}$  to span I(C). For example, smooth rational curves of degree up to 4 in K3s.

# Reconstructing isolated curves from their Hodge classes

Turns out one can compute a bit more for hypersurfaces

$$\varphi: H_2(X, \mathbb{Z}) \times H^2_{dR}(X/k) \to \mathbb{C} \qquad (\gamma, \omega) \longmapsto \int_{\gamma} \omega$$

Note, if  $\gamma \in \operatorname{Pic} X^{\operatorname{al}}$ , then  $\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \omega \in k^{\operatorname{al}}$  for  $\omega \in F^1 H^2_{\operatorname{dR}}(X/k)$ .

#### Theorem (Movasati-Sertöz)

If  $\gamma = [C] \in H_2(X, \mathbb{Z})$  for a curve  $C \subset X$  then from  $\frac{1}{2\pi i} (\int_{\gamma} \omega)_{\omega \in F^1}$  one can construct an ideal  $I_{\gamma}$  such that  $I(C) \subsetneq I_{\gamma}$ .

In favorable circumstances we expect low order equations in  $I_{\gamma}$  to span I(C). For example, smooth rational curves of degree up to 4 in K3s.

#### Theorem (Cifani-Pirola-Schlesinger)

For a smooth rational quartic curve  $C \subset X$  we have that the equation of the quadric surface containing C generates  $I_{[C],2}$ , i.e.,  $I(C)_2 = I_{[C],2}$ .

$$X: x^{4} + xyzw + y^{3}z + yw^{3} + z^{3}w = 0 \subset \mathbb{P}^{3}$$
$$\operatorname{Pic}(X^{\operatorname{al}})_{|B} \subseteq \Lambda \stackrel{?}{\subseteq} \operatorname{Pic}X^{\operatorname{al}}$$

#### Goal

Reconstruct the quadric surfaces containing some of the 133056 smooth rational quartics in *X* using the curve classes.

$$X: x^{4} + xyzw + y^{3}z + yw^{3} + z^{3}w = 0 \subset \mathbb{P}^{3}$$
$$\operatorname{Pic}(X^{\operatorname{al}})_{|B} \subseteq \Lambda \stackrel{?}{\subseteq} \operatorname{Pic}X^{\operatorname{al}}$$

#### Goal

Reconstruct the quadric surfaces containing some of the 133056 smooth rational quartics in *X* using the curve classes.

Fortunately, there is a small Aut(Λ) orbit of size 336:
 133056 = 336 + 1008 + 1176 + 3528 · 3 + 4704 · 3 + 7056 · 9 + 14112 · 3

#### Goal

Reconstruct the quadric surfaces containing some of the 133056 smooth rational quartics in *X* using the curve classes.

- Fortunately, there is a small Aut(Λ) orbit of size 336:
   133056 = 336 + 1008 + 1176 + 3528 · 3 + 4704 · 3 + 7056 · 9 + 14112 · 3
- For each quartic curve  $C \subset X$ , we can compute

$$I_{[C],2} = \langle a_0 x^2 + \dots + a_9 w^2 \rangle_{\mathbb{C}}$$

that defines a quadric surface Q, such that  $Q \cap X = C \cup \overline{C}$ . Hence, we expect an orbit of 168 quadrics each containing a pair of quartics.

• We aim reconstruct the ten (algebraic!) coefficients of these quadrics.

#### Goal

Reconstruct the ten coefficients  $a_i$  of these quadrics in a Galois orbit of size 168.

#### Goal

Reconstruct the ten coefficients  $a_i$  of these quadrics in a Galois orbit of size 168.

• Considering all the embeddings, and clearing denominators when possible one can reconstruct each  $\prod_{\sigma} (x - \sigma(a_i)) \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$  independently.

#### Goal

Reconstruct the ten coefficients  $a_i$  of these quadrics in a Galois orbit of size 168.

- Considering all the embeddings, and clearing denominators when possible one can reconstruct each  $\prod_{\sigma} (x \sigma(a_i)) \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$  independently.
- The minimal polynomials have large height about 9k characters, e.g.:

```
x^{168} - 10014013832542203812872613924739x^{161}
```

- $+\ 171047690745503707515328576627906817785436888130925209472262244x^{154}$
- $-1268317331496745879603035032448157273146519836562713924560050631153969519297207668270922371313x^{147}\\$
- Every computation must be done extremely selectively!

#### Goal

Reconstruct the ten coefficients  $a_i$  of these quadrics in a Galois orbit of size 168.

- Considering all the embeddings, and clearing denominators when possible one can reconstruct each  $\prod_{\sigma} (x \sigma(a_i)) \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$  independently.
- The minimal polynomials have large height about 9k characters, e.g.:

```
x^{168} - 10014013832542203812872613924739x^{161}
```

- + 171047690745503707515328576627906817785436888130925209472262244 $x^{154}$
- $-1268317331496745879603035032448157273146519836562713924560050631153969519297207668270922371313x^{147}$
- $+23237703563539410755436556575134206593366430461423708193774287327245213403024087108979694756912313\cdots \\$
- · Every computation must be done extremely selectively!
- We are presented with same 168 degree field *L* in 9 different ways.

#### Goal

Reconstruct the ten coefficients  $a_i$  of these quadrics in a Galois orbit of size 168.

• The minimal polynomials have large height about 9k characters, e.g.:

```
x^{168} - 10014013832542203812872613924739x^{161}
```

- + 171047690745503707515328576627906817785436888130925209472262244 $x^{154}$
- $+23237703563539410755436556575134206593366430461423708193774287327245213403024087108979694756912313\cdots \\$
- Every computation must be done extremely selectively!
- We are presented with same 168 degree field *L* in 9 different ways. The abstract isomorphism problem feels hopeless. ©

# Isomorphism problem

### Goal

Construct  $\mathbb{Q}(a_k) \hookrightarrow L$ , where  $L = \mathbb{Q}(a_0, \dots, a_9) = \mathbb{Q}(a_0)$ .

## Isomorphism problem

### Goal

Construct  $\mathbb{Q}(a_k) \hookrightarrow L$ , where  $L = \mathbb{Q}(a_0, \ldots, a_9) = \mathbb{Q}(a_0)$ .

In our case, we have all the compatible embeddings

$$\sigma_i: \mathbb{Q}(a_k) \hookrightarrow L \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

Thus the isomorphisms is given is the solution of the following linear system

$$\{\sigma_i(a_k)^j\}_{i,j}\cdot v=\{\sigma_i(a_0)\}_i, \qquad v\in\mathbb{Q}^{168}$$

## Isomorphism problem

### Goal

Construct  $\mathbb{Q}(a_k) \hookrightarrow L$ , where  $L = \mathbb{Q}(a_0, \ldots, a_9) = \mathbb{Q}(a_0)$ .

In our case, we have all the compatible embeddings

$$\sigma_i: \mathbb{Q}(a_k) \hookrightarrow L \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

Thus the isomorphisms is given is the solution of the following linear system

$$\{\sigma_i(a_k)^j\}_{i,j}\cdot V=\{\sigma_i(a_0)\}_i, \qquad V\in\mathbb{Q}^{168}$$

This is numerically stable, as  $\{\sigma_i(a_k)^j\}_{i,j}$  is a Vandermonde matrix.

The denominators of v are bounded.

## Isomorphism problem

### Goal

Construct 
$$\mathbb{Q}(a_k) \hookrightarrow L$$
, where  $L = \mathbb{Q}(a_0, \ldots, a_9) = \mathbb{Q}(a_0)$ .

In our case, we have all the compatible embeddings

$$\sigma_i: \mathbb{Q}(a_k) \hookrightarrow L \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

Thus the isomorphisms is given is the solution of the following linear system

$$\{\sigma_i(a_k)^j\}_{i,j}\cdot V=\{\sigma_i(a_0)\}_i,\qquad V\in\mathbb{Q}^{168}$$

This is numerically stable, as  $\{\sigma_i(a_k)^j\}_{i,j}$  is a Vandermonde matrix.

The denominators of *v* are bounded.

In practice, it is faster to iteratively refine the complex embeddings, as their height is smaller than theoretically possible: 4k vs 120k digits.

$$Q: a_0x^2 + a_1xy + \dots + a_9w^2 = 0 \subset \mathbb{P}^3, \quad [L := \mathbb{Q}(\{a_i\}_i) : \mathbb{Q}] = 168$$

### Goal

Show that  $Q \cap X$  decomposes into two quartic curves.

• It suffices to show that the singular locus S of  $Q \cap X$  consists of 10 distinct reduced points.

$$Q: a_0x^2 + a_1xy + \dots + a_9w^2 = 0 \subset \mathbb{P}^3, \quad [L := \mathbb{Q}(\{a_i\}_i) : \mathbb{Q}] = 168$$

### Goal

- It suffices to show that the singular locus S of  $Q \cap X$  consists of 10 distinct reduced points.
- Hopeless to do this directly! Operations in *L* are seriously expensive!

$$Q: a_0x^2 + a_1xy + \dots + a_9w^2 = 0 \subset \mathbb{P}^3, \quad [L := \mathbb{Q}(\{a_i\}_i) : \mathbb{Q}] = 168$$

### Goal

- It suffices to show that the singular locus S of  $Q \cap X$  consists of 10 distinct reduced points.
- Hopeless to do this directly! Operations in *L* are seriously expensive! Linear algebra ©

$$Q: a_0x^2 + a_1xy + \dots + a_9w^2 = 0 \subset \mathbb{P}^3, \quad [L := \mathbb{Q}(\{a_i\}_i) : \mathbb{Q}] = 168$$

### Goal

- It suffices to show that the singular locus S of  $Q \cap X$  consists of 10 distinct reduced points.
- Hopeless to do this directly! Operations in L are seriously expensive! Linear algebra  $\bigcirc$  Gröbner basis  $\bigcirc$

$$Q: a_0x^2 + a_1xy + \dots + a_9w^2 = 0 \subset \mathbb{P}^3, \quad [L := \mathbb{Q}(\{a_i\}_i) : \mathbb{Q}] = 168$$

### Goal

- It suffices to show that the singular locus S of  $Q \cap X$  consists of 10 distinct reduced points.
- Hopeless to do this directly! Operations in L are seriously expensive!
   Linear algebra Gröbner basis One needs to compute S by hand, and clear denominators before that.

$$Q: a_0x^2 + a_1xy + \dots + a_9w^2 = 0 \subset \mathbb{P}^3, \quad [L := \mathbb{Q}(\{a_i\}_i) : \mathbb{Q}] = 168$$

#### Goal

- It suffices to show that the singular locus S of  $Q \cap X$  consists of 10 distinct reduced points.
- Hopeless to do this directly! Operations in L are seriously expensive!
   Linear algebra Gröbner basis One needs to compute S by hand, and clear denominators before that.
- Working over  $\mathbb{F}_p$  we find 10 distinct points. Hence, S is zero-dimensional and reduced, and  $\deg S \leq 10$ .

$$Q: a_0x^2 + a_1xy + \dots + a_9w^2 = 0 \subset \mathbb{P}^3, \quad [L := \mathbb{Q}(\{a_i\}_i) : \mathbb{Q}] = 168$$

#### Goal

- It suffices to show that the singular locus S of  $Q \cap X$  consists of 10 distinct reduced points.
- Hopeless to do this directly! Operations in L are seriously expensive! Linear algebra  $\bigcirc$  Gröbner basis  $\bigcirc$  One needs to compute S by hand, and clear denominators before that.
- Working over  $\mathbb{F}_p$  we find 10 distinct points. Hence, S is zero-dimensional and reduced, and  $\deg S < 10$ .
- We conclude  $\deg S = 10$  via Gotzmann regularity theorem, by checking that  $\dim L[x,y,z,w]_{\bullet}/I_{\bullet} = 10$  for  $\bullet = 6,7$ , where V(I) = S.

$$Q: a_0 x^2 + a_1 xy + \dots + a_9 w^2 = 0 \subset \mathbb{P}^3, \quad [L:=\mathbb{Q}(\{a_i\}_i):\mathbb{Q}] = 168$$
$$\Lambda_Q := \langle [C]: C \subset \sigma(Q) \cap X, \ \sigma: L \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C} \rangle \subseteq \operatorname{Pic}(X^{\operatorname{al}})_{|B} \subseteq \Lambda \stackrel{?}{\subseteq} \operatorname{Pic}X^{\operatorname{al}}$$

The inclusion  $\Lambda_Q \subseteq \Lambda$  is not explicit!

$$Q: a_0 x^2 + a_1 xy + \dots + a_9 w^2 = 0 \subset \mathbb{P}^3, \quad [L:=\mathbb{Q}(\{a_i\}_i):\mathbb{Q}] = 168$$
$$\Lambda_Q := \langle [C]: C \subset \sigma(Q) \cap X, \ \sigma: L \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C} \rangle \subseteq \operatorname{Pic}(X^{\operatorname{al}})_{|B} \subseteq \Lambda \stackrel{?}{\subseteq} \operatorname{Pic}X^{\operatorname{al}}$$

The inclusion  $\Lambda_Q \subseteq \Lambda$  is not explicit!

Nonetheless,  $\operatorname{Pic} X^{\operatorname{al}}$  and  $\Lambda$  are saturated in  $H_2(X,\mathbb{Z})$ .

Hence, it is sufficient to show that  $\operatorname{rk} \Lambda_Q = \operatorname{rk} \Lambda = 19$ .

$$Q: a_0 x^2 + a_1 xy + \dots + a_9 w^2 = 0 \subset \mathbb{P}^3, \quad [L:=\mathbb{Q}(\{a_i\}_i):\mathbb{Q}] = 168$$
$$\Lambda_Q := \langle [C]: C \subset \sigma(Q) \cap X, \ \sigma: L \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C} \rangle \subseteq \operatorname{Pic}(X^{\operatorname{al}})_{|B} \subseteq \Lambda \stackrel{?}{\subseteq} \operatorname{Pic}X^{\operatorname{al}}$$

The inclusion  $\Lambda_Q \subseteq \Lambda$  is not explicit!

Nonetheless, Pic  $X^{al}$  and  $\Lambda$  are saturated in  $H_2(X, \mathbb{Z})$ .

Hence, it is sufficient to show that  $\operatorname{rk} \Lambda_Q = \operatorname{rk} \Lambda = 19$ .

We can do this in two ways:

• Compute the intersections of these 336 curves with each other over  $\mathbb{F}_{p}$ .

$$Q: a_0 x^2 + a_1 xy + \dots + a_9 w^2 = 0 \subset \mathbb{P}^3, \quad [L:=\mathbb{Q}(\{a_i\}_i):\mathbb{Q}] = 168$$
$$\Lambda_Q := \langle [C]: C \subset \sigma(Q) \cap X, \ \sigma: L \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C} \rangle \subseteq \operatorname{Pic}(X^{\operatorname{al}})_{|B} \subseteq \Lambda \stackrel{?}{\subseteq} \operatorname{Pic}X^{\operatorname{al}}$$

The inclusion  $\Lambda_Q \subseteq \Lambda$  is not explicit!

Nonetheless, Pic  $X^{al}$  and  $\Lambda$  are saturated in  $H_2(X, \mathbb{Z})$ .

Hence, it is sufficient to show that  $\operatorname{rk} \Lambda_Q = \operatorname{rk} \Lambda = 19$ .

We can do this in two ways:

- Compute the intersections of these 336 curves with each other over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ .
- Certify that these correspond to the original classes.
   Showing that there are at most 66528 distinct quadrics. Can be done over C.
   This establishes a bijection between these quadric surfaces and the 168 pairs of quartic curve classes that they correspond to.

$$\Lambda_{Q} := \langle [C] : C \subset \sigma(Q) \cap X, \ \sigma : L \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C} \rangle \subseteq \mathsf{Pic}(X^{\mathsf{al}})_{|B} \subseteq \Lambda \stackrel{?}{\subseteq} \mathsf{Pic}X^{\mathsf{al}}$$

The inclusion  $\Lambda_O \subseteq \Lambda$  is not explicit!

Nonetheless, Pic  $X^{al}$  and  $\Lambda$  are saturated in  $H_2(X, \mathbb{Z})$ .

Hence, it is sufficient to show that  $rk \Lambda_0 = rk \Lambda = 19$ .

We can do this in two ways:

- Compute the intersections of these 336 curves with each other over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ .
- · Certify that these correspond to the original classes. Showing that there are at most 66528 distinct quadrics. Can be done over C. This establishes a bijection between these quadric surfaces and the 168 pairs of quartic curve classes that they correspond to.

$$Pic X^{al} = \Lambda$$



$$Q: a_0x^2 + a_1xy + \dots + a_9w^2 = 0 \subset \mathbb{P}^3, \quad [L := \mathbb{Q}(\{a_i\}_i) : \mathbb{Q}] = 168$$

 $Q \cap X$  decomposes into a pair of quartics over K a quadratic extension of L.

### Goal

Compute K and  $Gal(K/\mathbb{Q})$  acting on  $\Lambda_Q$ .

$$Q: a_0x^2 + a_1xy + \dots + a_9w^2 = 0 \subset \mathbb{P}^3, \quad [L := \mathbb{Q}(\{a_i\}_i) : \mathbb{Q}] = 168$$

 $Q \cap X$  decomposes into a pair of quartics over K a quadratic extension of L.

### Goal

Compute K and  $Gal(K/\mathbb{Q})$  acting on  $\Lambda_Q$ .

Via the identification with the original classes we have  $\frac{1}{2\pi i} \left( \int_C \omega \right)_{\omega \in F^1} \in K^{21}$ .

$$Q: a_0x^2 + a_1xy + \dots + a_9w^2 = 0 \subset \mathbb{P}^3, \quad [L := \mathbb{Q}(\{a_i\}_i) : \mathbb{Q}] = 168$$

 $Q \cap X$  decomposes into a pair of quartics over K a quadratic extension of L.

### Goal

Compute K and  $Gal(K/\mathbb{Q})$  acting on  $\Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}}$ .

Via the identification with the original classes we have  $\frac{1}{2\pi i} \left( \int_C \omega \right)_{\omega \in F^1} \in K^{21}$ .

These can be reconstructed in the same fashion as we reconstructed  $a_i$ .

Unclear how to certify this step! What are the denominators of  $\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_C \omega$ ?

$$Q: a_0x^2 + a_1xy + \dots + a_9w^2 = 0 \subset \mathbb{P}^3, \quad [L := \mathbb{Q}(\{a_i\}_i) : \mathbb{Q}] = 168$$

 $Q \cap X$  decomposes into a pair of quartics over K a quadratic extension of L.

#### Goal

Compute K and  $Gal(K/\mathbb{Q})$  acting on  $\Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}}$ .

Via the identification with the original classes we have  $\frac{1}{2\pi i} \left( \int_C \omega \right)_{\omega \in F^1} \in K^{21}$ .

These can be reconstructed in the same fashion as we reconstructed  $a_i$ .

Unclear how to certify this step! What are the denominators of  $\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_C \omega$ ?

Can one compute K using geometry without Gröbner basis?

To try: For a generic hyperplane  $Q \cap X \cap H$  is a degree 8 reduced scheme. The number field K is the quadratic extension where we observe two orbits.

$$Q: a_0x^2 + a_1xy + \dots + a_9w^2 = 0 \subset \mathbb{P}^3, \quad [L := \mathbb{Q}(\{a_i\}_i) : \mathbb{Q}] = 168$$

 $Q \cap X$  decomposes into a pair of quartics over K a quadratic extension of L.

### Goal

Compute K and  $Gal(K/\mathbb{Q})$  acting on  $\Lambda_Q$ .

The direct computation of  $Gal(K/\mathbb{Q})$  looks hopeless.

$$Q: a_0x^2 + a_1xy + \dots + a_9w^2 = 0 \subset \mathbb{P}^3, \quad [L := \mathbb{Q}(\{a_i\}_i) : \mathbb{Q}] = 168$$

 $Q \cap X$  decomposes into a pair of quartics over K a quadratic extension of L.

#### Goal

Compute K and  $Gal(K/\mathbb{Q})$  acting on  $\Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}}$ .

The direct computation of  $Gal(K/\mathbb{Q})$  looks hopeless.

We guess that  $K = F(\sqrt[14]{u})$  where  $[F:\mathbb{Q}] = 24$  and  $Gal(F/\mathbb{Q}) = C_3 \times PGL(2,7)$ . Note,  $\# Gal(F/\mathbb{Q})$  is 14 times smaller than  $\# Aut \operatorname{Pic} X^{al}$ .

$$Q: a_0x^2 + a_1xy + \dots + a_9w^2 = 0 \subset \mathbb{P}^3, \quad [L := \mathbb{Q}(\{a_i\}_i) : \mathbb{Q}] = 168$$

 $Q \cap X$  decomposes into a pair of quartics over K a quadratic extension of L.

#### Goal

Compute K and  $Gal(K/\mathbb{Q})$  acting on  $\Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}}$ .

The direct computation of  $Gal(K/\mathbb{Q})$  looks hopeless.

We guess that  $K = F(\sqrt[14]{u})$  where  $[F:\mathbb{Q}] = 24$  and  $Gal(F/\mathbb{Q}) = C_3 \times PGL(2,7)$ . Note,  $\# Gal(F/\mathbb{Q})$  is 14 times smaller than  $\# Aut \operatorname{Pic} X^{al}$ .

Can we compute  $Gal(K/\mathbb{Q})$ ?  $Gal(K/\mathbb{Q}) \stackrel{?}{=} Aut \Lambda$ ?  $H^1(Gal(k^{al}/k), Pic X^{al}) =$ ?

### Theorem (C-Sertöz)

The quartic surface  $X: x^4 + xyzw + y^3z + yw^3 + z^3w = 0 \subset \mathbb{P}^3$  has  $\operatorname{Pic} X^{\operatorname{al}} = \Lambda$ , generated by quartics over a quadratic extension of  $L := \mathbb{Q}(\{a_i\}_i)$ .

### Theorem (C-Sertöz)

The quartic surface  $X: x^4 + xyzw + y^3z + yw^3 + z^3w = 0 \subset \mathbb{P}^3$  has  $\operatorname{Pic} X^{\operatorname{al}} = \Lambda$ , generated by quartics over a quadratic extension of  $L := \mathbb{Q}(\{a_i\}_i)$ .

We are still developing the method and figure out its applications/limitations.

### Theorem (C-Sertöz)

The quartic surface  $X: x^4 + xyzw + y^3z + yw^3 + z^3w = 0 \subset \mathbb{P}^3$  has  $\operatorname{Pic} X^{\operatorname{al}} = \Lambda$ , generated by quartics over a quadratic extension of  $L := \mathbb{Q}(\{a_i\}_i)$ .

We are still developing the method and figure out its applications/limitations.

### Wanna be a Theorem (C-Sertöz)

There is a practical algorithm to compute the saturation of the lattice generated by rational curves of degree up to 4.

### Theorem (C-Sertöz)

The quartic surface  $X: x^4 + xyzw + y^3z + yw^3 + z^3w = 0 \subset \mathbb{P}^3$  has  $\operatorname{Pic} X^{\operatorname{al}} = \Lambda$ , generated by quartics over a quadratic extension of  $L := \mathbb{Q}(\{a_i\}_i)$ .

We are still developing the method and figure out its applications/limitations.

### Wanna be a Theorem (C-Sertöz)

There is a practical algorithm to compute the saturation of the lattice generated by rational curves of degree up to 4.

Do you have a challenge K3 surface for us?